

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States l'atent and Trademark Office Address CYMMISSIOSERS OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, Dr. 20231

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	HIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
08.809,650	06:13-1997	GEORGES BAHR	2121-128PCT	7849
2292 759	08 08 2002			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			SCHEINER, FAURIFA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648 DATE MAILED: 08/05/2002	~ <b>!</b> 8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## ADVISORY ACTION

111	HE PENIOD FOR RESPONSE			
a) [	, is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of	the final rejection
p) [	event however, will the statute	date of the final rejection or as of the cry period for the response expire la	ne mailing date of this Advisory iter than six months from the dat	Action whichever is later in no e of the final rejection
	The date on which the respon purposes of determining the p	obtained by filing a pitition under : se the petition, and this fee have be eriod of extension and the correspo e date of the originally set shortener	een filed is the date of the respo inding amount of the fee. Any e d statutory period for response o	nse and also the date for the elension fee pursuant to 37 CFR r as set forth in b) above
	Appellant's Brief is due in accorda	nce with 37 GFR 1 192(1) A H34	Been Received (T	Ransmired)
	applicant's response to the final re p place the application in conditio		as been considered with the follo	owing effect, but it is not deemed
1 [	The proposed amendments to	the claim and for specification will n	ot be entered and the final rejec	tion stands because
	a There is no convincing s presented	thowing under 37 CFR 1 116(b) why	the proposed amendment is ne	cessary and was not earlier
	b [] They raise new issues th	at would require further consideration	on and or search (See Note)	
	c [_] They raise the issue of r	ew matter (See Note)		
	d [ ] They are not deemed to appeal	place the application in better form	for appeal by materially reducir	g or simplifying the issues for
	e 📒 They present additional	claims without cancelling a correspond	onding number of finally rejected	claims
	NOTE			
2 [	Newly proposed or amended of the non allowable claims	daims would be	allowed if submitted in a separa	ately filed amendment cancelling
$\rightarrow$	Spen the hing an appeal The p	voposed amendment Will be on	stered will not be entered an	d the status of the claims will
_	Claims allowed	_		
	Claims objected to			
	Claims rejected 25, 24	₹ 28-34		
	However			
	Applicant's response has c	overcome the following rejection(s)		
	The affidavit, exhibit or request	for reconsideration has been consideration	dured but does not overcome the	rejection because
5	The affidavitor exhibit will not b	e considered because applicant has	and shown good and sufficent n	pasons why it was not earlier
	presented.			
Tib	e proposed drawing correction	, has has not lown approved	the the examiner	s 1
	her	The second of these	C	3 -1